IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CONTEMPT PETITION NO.101 OF 2006

.....

OFFICE NOTES, OFFICE MEMORANDUM OF CORAM, APPEARANCES, COURT'S ORDERS OR DIRECTIONS AND REGISTRAR'S ORDERS	COURT'S OR JUDGES ORDERS

.....

Mr S.G. Rajput for the Petitioner.

CORAM : A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.
DATE : MARCH 16, 2006.

P.C.:

1. The grievance made in this Petition is that during the attachment of the property effected under the orders of this court was in operation, the Respondent No.1 has sold the property to the Respondent No.2. That grievance can be addressed if the Petitioner was to take recourse to appropriate remedy in the attachment proceedings as said. As alternative remedy is available to the Petitioner to correct mischief caused by the Respondent, the question of entertaining the Contempt Petition does not arise. Disposed of with liberty to the Petitioner to take recourse to civil or criminal action, as may be, permissible in law.

JUDGE